

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
3 -----x
4 ERIC L. JEFFRIES,
5 Plaintiff,
6 v. No. C-1-02-351
7 CENTRE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL.,
8 Defendants.
9 -----x

10 Volume: I Pages: 1-79

11

12

13 DEPOSITION OF CHARLES POSER, M.D., a
14 witness called on behalf of the Defendant,
15 taken pursuant to the provisions of the
16 Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure,
17 before Linda Bernis, a Registered
18 Professional Reporter and Notary Public in
19 and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts,
20 held at the Beth Israel Hospital,
21 330 Brookline Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts,
22 on Tuesday, July 8, 2003, commencing at
23 2:00 p.m.

24

O'BRIEN & LEVINE COURT REPORTING
617-254-2909

1 computed tomography?

2 A. Something like that.

3 Q. Basically, what happens is, they inject an
4 isotope into the patient and some time later
5 they have the patient lie down and they scan
6 him with something that picks up a
7 single-photon emission computed tomography
8 coming from the blood flow in the brain; is
9 that right?

10 A. Right.

11 Q. And he finds that, or he concluded that the
12 brain scan, or the spec scan, rather, the
13 type of spec scan that he reviewed has been
14 described in relation to different
15 conditions including chronic fatigue; is
16 that right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Now, there is no medical literature which
19 suggests that chronic fatigue is, sorry,
20 that spec scans are diagnostic of chronic
21 fatigue; is that right?

22 A. I really don't know. I don't know. This is
23 something that I have not pursued. This is
24 quite beyond my expertise. I've never used

1 As I mentioned before, Mr. Jeffries had
2 mentioned that the symptoms were
3 intermittent. I never did discover what the
4 shortest or longest period of time was, and
5 I don't remember if he testified to that or
6 not. I just don't know. But he did mention
7 the fact that they were intermittent.

8 He quit working, as I remember, in
9 September of '98. So it was about a year
10 after the vaccination, at which time
11 apparently the symptoms became severe
12 enough. That's as far as I know.

13 Q. In the medical community, do the debates
14 continue as to the viability of chronic
15 fatigue syndrome, fibromyalgia, whatever you
16 call it, as a viable illness as opposed to
17 complaints?

18 A. Oh, yes.

19 Q. Of other illnesses?

20 A. It's been recognized by the CDC. It's been
21 recognized by the VA. I don't know what
22 other.

23 Q. But it's like a disease of exclusion as far
24 as diagnosis is concerned?

1 A. I don't think so.

2 Q. You don't think so?

3 A. No. People always get my goat when they say
4 MS is disease of exclusion. Not to me it
5 isn't. I think that you can make the
6 diagnosis on the basis of very specific
7 criteria which we have.

8 I once made myself very popular
9 when I gave a talk on chronic fatigue
10 syndrome to a bunch of psychiatrists and I
11 didn't realize there were patients in the
12 audience. I made the statement that, in my
13 opinion, 90 percent of patients with chronic
14 fatigue syndrome are crocks. That was not a
15 very popular statement. It happened to be
16 something that I believed, which, of course,
17 makes life very difficult for people like
18 Mr. Jeffries. It's not a disease of
19 exclusion. Now, people think it is and they
20 go through all kinds of things to rule it
21 out.

22 As you mentioned before, Shea
23 disease, Munchhausen syndrome, that's
24 nonsense; absolute nonsense. It costs a lot

1 of money to do that. It's not necessary.

2 What people don't know how to do,
3 Mr. Ellis, is take a history. We don't
4 teach that anymore. MRI is much easier.

5 Q. Well, the fact remains that the symptoms
6 that fit your criteria are, in fact, in
7 order to fit your criteria are subjective
8 symptoms, the ones the patient has to
9 describe to you?

10 A. That is the definition of a symptom. You
11 mean, they have no signs?

12 Q. They have no signs.

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. So, basically, we have a complaint without
15 an objective physiological finding to
16 support it?

17 A. Right.

18 Q. And that's true of each of the major and
19 minor symptoms that you described?

20 A. You're absolutely correct.

21 Q. I thought that we had an objective sign on
22 one of your minors when we talked about
23 tandem walking, but you said objectively
24 they can do it but subjectively they feel

1 difficulties or some personality
2 difficulties into physical symptoms,
3 correct?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And that --

6 A. However --

7 Q. -- that is an identifiable disease by
8 objective testing; is it not by
9 neuropsychological testing?

10 A. This is a much better way of doing it. The
11 patient says they can't walk and you think
12 it's a somatization. You hypnotise them and
13 then you can show that under hypnosis you
14 can do a normal examination.

15 I have a friend when I was a
16 resident that could do that. However, you
17 have to remember that when there is
18 somatization, as a general rule, it's very
19 dramatic. This ain't dramatic. Here's a
20 guy who can't work. He's tired all the
21 time. He can't remember anything. He can't
22 add two and two. There's nothing dramatic
23 about that. The somatization is, I can't
24 walk.

1 their problem.

2 Q. And that criteria is the one that would
3 permit, as you put it, 90 percent of people
4 complaining of CFS who don't actually have
5 it to be diagnosed with it?

6 A. Yes, but that is my personal opinion.

7 Q. I understand that.

8 And the criteria that you identify
9 are your criteria for making the diagnosis?

10 A. I used --

11 Q. Not necessarily accepted by the medical
12 profession?

13 A. I have no idea. Nor do I care.

14 Q. Right. I'm with you.

15 In your experience, do you believe
16 that patients such as Mr. Jeffries with
17 chronic fatigue diagnosed by your criteria
18 will deteriorate over time or do they reach
19 a plateau and just stay that way forever?

20 A. Some patients recover. It's not very
21 common. Most patients reach a plateau.

22 I can also tell you, again, in my
23 experience, the disease is more common in
24 women, which is another reason why it's